

Monitoring Superintendent Performance

B/SR-5

The Superintendent’s job performance will be monitored systematically and rigorously against the two Superintendent job expectations: organizational accomplishment of the Board’s Ends policies, and organizational operation within the boundaries established in the Board’s Executive Limitations policies. Accordingly:

1. Monitoring determines the degree to which Board policies are being met. Information that does not contribute directly to this purpose is not considered monitoring data.
2. The Board will acquire monitoring data on Ends and Executive Limitations policies by one or more of three methods:
 - a. By internal report, in which the Superintendent discloses and certifies compliance information to the Board.
 - b. By external report, in which an external, disinterested third party selected by the Board assesses compliance with Board policies.
 - c. By direct Board inspection, in which the Board assesses compliance with the appropriate policy criteria.
3. In every case, the standard for compliance shall be whether the Superintendent has reasonably interpreted the Board policy being monitored. The Board will make the final decision as to whether a Superintendent interpretation is reasonable. At the meeting the monitoring report is presented, the board will either accept the report as written or table it for discussion at the next board workshop. When approved, the chair is authorized to sign the appropriate response document (B/SR-5 ENDS or B/SR5 MEANS) signifying the monitoring report meets the expectations of the board or that corrective action by the superintendent must be taken to meet board expectations.
4. All policies which instructs the Superintendent will be monitored on schedule according to a frequency and by a method chosen by the Board. The Board may monitor any policy at any time by any method, but ordinarily will depend upon the following schedule and method:

Ends Policies	Method	Frequency
E-1 District Mission	Internal Report	October
E-2 Academic Achievement	Internal Report	October
E-3 Personal Skill Development	Internal Report	October
E-4 Social Skill Development	Internal Report	October
Executive Limitations Policies	Method	Frequency
EL-1 Board Relations	Internal Report	January
EL-2 Community Relations	Internal Report	April
EL-3 Staff Relationships	Internal Report	August
EL-4 Business and Finance	Internal Report	May
EL-5 Educational Leadership	Internal Report & Direct Inspection	November
EL-6 Staff Evaluations	Internal Report	June
EL-7 District/School Improvement Plans	Internal Report & Direct Inspection	October
EL-8 Student Safety, Attendance, Conduct and Discipline	Internal Report	February
EL-9 Student, Parent and Staff Feedback	Internal Report & Direct Inspection	March

5. In conjunction with the Ends policies and Executive Limitations policies monitoring reports, the Board will use the eleven (11) criteria as part of the superintendent evaluation rubric using the following schedule:

Evaluation Rubric	Frequency
Criterion-1 Relationship with the Board	January
Criterion-2 Community Relations	April
Criterion-3 Staff Relationships	August
Criterion-4 Business and Finance	May
Criterion-5 Educational Leadership	November
Criterion-6 Personal Qualities	June
Criterion-7 Evaluation of Staff	September
Criterion-8 Progress Toward the School Improvement Plan	February
Criterion-9 Student Attendance, Conduct and Discipline	March
Criterion-10 Student/Parent/Teacher Feedback	October
Criterion-11 Student Growth and Achievement	July

6. Each January, the Board will conduct a formal evaluation of the Superintendent. The evaluation will be based upon data generated during the year in monitoring reports as well as the eleven criteria that are included in the superintendent evaluation rubric. A written evaluation document will be prepared, compiling the results from each Board member to produce an overall evaluation rating. The Superintendent will review the document with the Board in executive session. The report will be signed by both the Superintendent and the Board Chairperson. The final evaluation document will consist of:
- All eleven criterion rubric rating sheets with the individual Board members ratings shown as an overall framework.
 - For any rating above or below proficient, specific evidences from monitoring reports and/or direct inspections will be included.
 - An improvement plan if less than proficient rating is used that addresses the policy implications stemming from insufficient progress toward meeting the Ends, or failure to operate within the boundaries of the Executive Limitations policies.

Nothing in this policy will be construed to imply in any manner the establishment of any personal rights not explicitly established by statute, Board policy, or contract. All employment decisions regarding the Superintendent remain within the sole and continuing discretion of the Board.

Adopted: May 27, 2014, Revised: January 22, 2019

Monitoring Method: Board Self-Assessment

Monitoring Frequency: Annually in December